## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

# DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

 Ce	sar Ed	uardo Rios-Lugo	Case Number: _	CR 11-2122-PHX-NVW
ordance tablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f	), a detention hearing has b	peen held. I conclude that the following facts
-		onvincing evidence the defendant is a this case.	a danger to the communit	y and require the detention of the defendant
by a pr	•	rance of the evidence the defendant	is a flight risk and require the	he detention of the defendant pending trial in
		PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT	
(1)	The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is			
		a crime of violence as defined in 1	8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the maximum	n sentence is life imprisonm	nent or death.
		an offense for which a maximum t	erm of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1	the defendant had been co	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.
(2)	The of state of	ffense described in finding 1 was co or local offense.	mmitted while the defendar	nt was on release pending trial for a federal,
(3)	A peri impris	od of not more than five years has onment) for the offense described in	elapsed since the (date of finding 1.	of conviction)(release of the defendant from
(4)	reasor			no condition or combination of conditions will nity. I further find that the defendant has not
		Alte	rnative Findings	
(1)	There	is probable cause to believe that the	e defendant has committed	an offense
		for which a maximum term of impr	isonment of ten years or m	ore is prescribed in²
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	•	
(2)	The d	efendant has not rebutted the presions will reasonably assure the appe	sumption established by fir earance of the defendant as	nding 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.
		Alte	rnative Findings	
(1)		is a serious risk that the defendant v pearance of the defendant as requir		bination of conditions will reasonably assure
(2)	No co	ndition or combination of conditions	will reasonably assure the	safety of others and the community.
(3)		is a serious risk that the defendant v pective witness or juror).	vill (obstruct or attempt to o	bstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate
(4)				

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or

<sup>(</sup>c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

# PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  efendant is not a citizen of the United States.  efendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  assed herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custo cement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  efendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  efendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcular ure his/her future appearance.
chat a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  efendant is not a citizen of the United States.  efendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  assed herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custo cement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  efendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  efendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculative his/her future appearance.
efendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  ased herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custocement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  efendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  efendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculative his/her future appearance.
ased herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custo cement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.  efendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  efendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculature his/her future appearance.
efendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  efendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculative his/her future appearance.
efendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcula ure his/her future appearance.
ure his/her future appearance.
efendant has a prior criminal history.
efendant lives and works in Mexico.
efendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and antial family ties to Mexico.
is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
efendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
efendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
t does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:  bmitted the issue of detention.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

#### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge